HATCHERY EVALUATION REPORT

Willard NFH - Coho

February 1997

Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT)

HATCHERY EVALUATION REPORT

Willard NFH - Coho

An Independent Audit Based on Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT) Performance Measures

Prepared by:

Montgomery Watson 2375 130th Avenue NE Suite 200 Bellevue, WA 98005

Prepared for:

U.S. Department of Energy Bonneville Power Administration Environment, Fish and Wildlife P.O. Box 3621 Portland, OR 97208-3621

Project Number 95-2 Contract Number 95AC49468

February 1997

CONTENTS

Section 1	Executive Summary	1-1
Section 2	Facility Description	2-1
Section 3	Compliance Status	3-1
Section 4	Remedial Actions	4-1
Section 5	Hatchery Contribution to Fisheries, Spawning Grounds and Hatcheries	5-1
Section 6	Annual Operating Expenditures	6-1

List of Tables

Table

- 1 Summary Program Information for Willard NFH Coho
- 2 Compliance with Performance Measures: Willard NFH Coho
- 3 Remedial Actions Required at Willard NFH Coho
- 4 Adult Contribution to Fisheries, Spawning Grounds and Hatcheries: Willard NFH Coho
- 5 Annual Operating Expenses: Willard NFH Coho

6 Annual Operating Expenses - Willard NFH

Executive Summary

This report presents the findings of the independent audit of the Willard NFH - Coho program. The hatchery is located on the Little White Salmon River approximately 4 miles upstream from the Little White Salmon NFH. The two hatcheries are operated as a single complex. The hatchery is used for incubation and rearing of coho.

The audit was conducted in 1996-1997 as part of a 2-year effort that will include 67 hatcheries and satellite facilities located on the Columbia and Snake River system in Idaho, Oregon, and Washington. The hatchery operating agencies include the U.S Fish and Wildlife Service, Idaho Department of Fish and Game, Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, and Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife.

Background

The audit is being conducted as a requirement of the Northwest Power Planning Council (NPPC) ÒStrategy for SalmonÓ and the Columbia River Basin Fish and Wildlife Program. Under the audit, the hatcheries are evaluated against policies and related performance measures developed by the Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT). IHOT is a multi-agency group established by the NPPC to direct the development of new basinwide standards for managing and operating fish hatcheries. The Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) contracted with Montgomery Watson to act as an independent contractor for the audit. IHOT has established five basic policies that cover: (1) hatchery coordination, (2) hatchery performance standards, (3) fish health, (4) ecological interaction, and (5) genetics. The audit focuses on all these policies, with the exception of hatchery coordination. These policies are set forth in *Policies and Procedures for Columbia Basin Anadromous Salmonid Hatcheries (IHOT 1995)*. That document is the source for the performance measures that are the basis of this audit.

The Audit Process

The audit was based on the facility managementÕs response to a 109-page questionnaire. This audit form was completed through a five-step process in which:

- Information was obtained from headquarters.
- The hatchery manager was asked to fill out and return the audit form.
- A 1-2 day site audit visit was conducted to inspect facilities, review hatchery records, discuss audit form responses, and develop remedial action plans.
- A compliance report was developed to document the compliance status of each performance measure. This report was then shared with the hatchery manager and IHOT representative.
- This hatchery evaluation report was written to document compliance with IHOT performance measures and develop cost estimates for remedial actions when needed.

Willard NFH - Coho Results

The Willard facility includes 50 concrete raceways, 52 starter tanks, and incubation facilities (Carson Depot Springs). Willard NFH was authorized by the Mitchell Act in 1946 and constructed in 1952. The facility was originally planned as a fall chinook hatchery but was switched to spring chinook and coho because of cold water temperatures, and then switched completely to coho in the mid-1960Õs. The goal of the hatchery is to provide fish to the commercial, sport, and tribal fisheries.

The Willard NFH - Coho program was in general compliance with most of the performance measures. In the area of program objectives, the was not meeting its adult return or fry-to-smolt goals. The audit found that the hatchery was not in compliance with water quality monitoring requirements, pathology-free water criteria, alarm protocols, and predation control facility criteria, which are all facilities requirements. The hatchery was not meeting the IHOT incubation criteria for loading and flow and needed additional nursery tanks to meet the density criteria for early rearing. The hatchery did not have a Genetics Monitoring and Evaluation Program.

The specific areas in which the Willard NFH - Coho program requires remedial actions based on the IHOT performance measures are listed below. These remedial actions are listed in alphabetical order without intent of ranking or otherwise assigning priority:

- Develop approved genetics M&E plan
- Develop disease-free water supply for early rearing
- Develop smoltification goal and monitor
- Develop specific incubation and rearing standards for the IHOT Operations Plan
- Follow IHOT incubation flow criteria or revise criteria
- Follow IHOT protocols for checking of flow and other alarms
- Increase fry-to smolt survival
- Increase hardness of river water
- Install 1,100 ft of perimeter fencing and 41,700 sf of bird netting
- Install 40 additional nursery tanks

- Monitor DO and TGP and record
- Provide temperature control for rearing
- Run analysis for missing water chemistry parameters, turbidity, nitrite, and contaminants

Non-compliance issues resulting from items beyond human control or Performance Measures not relevant to this hatchery (Type 1 in Table 3, Section 4 of this report) were not listed above.

Facility Description

Name: Willard National Fish Hatchery

Stock/Species: Coho

Operating Agency: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

Funding Agency: Mitchell Act (NMFS)

Location: The hatchery is located on the Little White Salmon River

approximately 4 miles upstream from the Little White Salmon NFH.

The two hatcheries are operated as a single complex.

Address: 56961 SR 14

Cook, WA 98605

Hatchery Manager: Mr. Speros Doulos
Phone: (509) 538-27755

Fax:

Purpose: Willard NFH was authorized by the Mitchell Act in 1946 and

constructed in 1952. It began operations in 1952. The facility was

originally planned as a fall chinook hatchery but was switched to spring

chinook and coho because of cold water temperatures, and then

switched completely to coho in the mid-1960Os. The goal of the

hatchery is to provide fish to the commercial, sport, and tribal fisheries.

Production Goal: Coho

Produce 2.5 million coho smolts (166,600 lb) for on-station release.

Water Supply: Water use at the hatchery ranges from 11,221 to 24,442 gpm with most

of the water supplied by the Little White Salmon River. Carson Depot

Springs is located off station and used for incubation. Well water is

used for incubation and temperature control during early rearing.

Facilities:

Adult Holding: None

Incubation: At Carson Depot Springs, see below under satellite facilities

Early Rearing: 52 concrete starter tanks - 91 cf each

Raceways: 50 concrete raceways - 1,408 cf each

Rearing Ponds: None

Satellite Facilities: Carson Depot Springs

24 full stacks of vertical tray incubators (384 trays)

Compliance Status

The hatchery audits are based on compliance with written IHOT performance measures. These performance measures are documented in *Policies and Procedures for Columbia Basin*Anadromous Salmonid Hatcheries (referred to as IHOT 1995 in this report).

The purpose of the performance measures is to implement new basinwide policies that provide regional guidelines for operating anadromous hatcheries in the Columbia Basin.

The audit focuses on performance measures for IHOT policies that cover (1) hatchery performance standards, (2) fish health, (3) ecological interaction, and (4) genetics. These performance measures are intended to guide hatchery operations once production is established. For that reason, the hatchery operations audit included broodstock collection, spawning, incubation of eggs, fish rearing and feeding, fish release, equipment maintenance and operations, and personnel training. Production priorities are beyond the scope of this audit.

Based on *IHOT 1995*, a detailed 109-page audit form was developed. The audit form divided the performance measures into six major sections along major program and technical criteria areas. Two additional sections (sections 1 and 8) include general information and expenditure information needed for this Hatchery Evaluation Report and blank forms for additional comments. The following is the basic structure of the IHOT audit form:

Section 1 Performance Measures for General Information and Expenditure

Information (PMs General 1-2)

Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT) 1995. *Policies and Procedures for Columbia Basin Anadromous Salmonid Hatcheries*, Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, Oregon.

Section 2	Performance Measures for Program Objectives (PMs 1-4)
Section 3	Performance Measures for Facility Requirements (PMs 5-15)
Section 4	Performance Measures for Hatchery Practices (PMs 16-25)
Section 5	Performance Measures for Fish Health Policy (PMs 26-34)
Section 6	Performance Measures for Ecological Interactions (PMs 35-38)
Section 7	Performance Measures for Genetics Policy (PMs 39-43)
Section 8	Blank Forms for Additional Comments.

Several performance measures are repeated in various sections of the audit form. These performance measures overlap in *IHOT 1995* and were retained to allow individuals interested in specific portions of the audit (such as Genetics or Fish Health) to determine the compliance status of all performance measures for a given topic in one location. A repeated performance measure is indicated by shaded text.

The Hatchery Audit Process

The hatchery audit will be conducted over a 2-year period that concludes in 1997. At each hatchery, a five-step process was used to complete the overall hatchery audit. This process consisted of research and onsite visits. The site visit at the Willard NFH was conducted on February 3, 1997.

The following is the five-step audit process:

- 1. Information was obtained from headquarters.
- 2. The hatchery manager was asked to fill out and return the **Audit Form**.

- 3. A 1-2 day site audit visit was conducted at each hatchery. During that visit an audit team inspected facilities, reviewed hatchery records, discussed audit form responses, and developed remedial action plans when appropriate.
- 4. During the site visit, the compliance status of each performance measure was discussed with the hatchery manager and IHOT representative. A portion of the Hatchery Evaluation Report was sent to the hatchery manager following the audit visit as a **Compliance Report**. That Compliance Report is Table 2 of this report.
- 5. Information from steps 1-4 was used to prepare a draft **Hatchery Evaluation Report**. This draft report was submitted to the operating agencies for review of the information used to determine compliance. Based on review and comments, a final Hatchery Evaluation Report was developed. The final report documents the compliance of a particular hatchery with the IHOT performance measures and presents cost estimates to correct any deficiencies.

Compliance Status of Willard NFH - Coho

The following table includes information on life-stages that are held on this facility for some portion of their rearing cycle (Table 1). For multi-facility programs, summary cost and contribution data is presented at the facility where rearing occurs. For the compliance status relating to performance measures that do not occur at this hatchery, please refer to the Hatchery Evaluation Reports for the hatcheries and stocks listed in Table 1. A check mark (4) indicates that the specific life-stage is held at this facility.

This section documents the compliance status of the Willard NFH - Coho program. Each performance measure is presented in a table taken from the audit form (Table 2). The compliance status is identified by the following categories:

- N/A (not applicable)
- Yes (in compliance)
- ? (unknown; generally due to unavailability of information to determine compliance)
- **No** (not in compliance).

Remedial actions are suggested for performance measures not in compliance. These remedial actions are grouped into categories and listed in Section 4 of this report, where the cost of the required remedial actions is also presented.

Table 1 Summary Program Information for Willard NFH - Coho

Component		Location	n of Adult Holding, Spa	awning, Incubation, ar	nd Rearing	
	Little White	Willard NFH				
	Salmon NFH					
Adult Collection	4					
Adult Holding	4					
Spawning	4					
Fertilization	4					
Incubation						
green-to-eyed	4					
eyed-to-hatch		4				
Rearing						
fry		4				
fingerlings		4				
smolts		4				
Acclimation/release		4				

 Table 2
 Willard NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	nce Statu	ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#1	Are the hatchery programs outlined in a subbasin management plan?	-	4			Columbia Basin System Planning Production Plan, Mitchell Act, and Columbia River Fishery Plan (NMFS)	
#2	Is the hatchery operating under a current hatchery operational plan?		4			IHOT Operations Plan	
	Is it understood by staff?		4				
	Is it being followed?		4				
#3	Is a hatchery monitoring and evaluation plan in place?						
	Do you have a written monitoring and evaluation plan?		4			CWT and Missing Groups Report	
#4a	Adult contribution to fisheries, spawning grounds, and hatchery		4			Review of records	
#4b	Adult pre-spawning survival as compared with established goal	4				Spawning at Little White Salmon NFH	
#4c	Egg-take as compared with established hatchery goal	4				Spawning at Little White Salmon NFH	

 Table 2
 Willard NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#4d	Green-egg to eyed-egg survival as compared with	4				Incubation at Little White Salmon NFH	
	established goal						
#4e	Eyed-egg to fry survival as compared with established		4			Review of records; in compliance 4 out	
	goal					of last 4 years	
#4f	Fry to smolt survival as compared with established				4	Review of records; in compliance 4 out	Increase fry-to-smolt survival
	goal					of last 5 years. Cold water disease causes	Also See PM #5a
						problem.	
#4g	Production as compared with established goal				4	Review of records; in compliance 1 out	Increase adult returns
						of last 3 years. Cold water disease causes	Also PM #5a
						problem.	
#4h	Percent survival (smolt to adult) as compared with				4	Review of records; in compliance 2 out	Increase adult returns
	established goal					of last 4 years	
#4i	Number of eggs, fry, fingerlings, smolts, and/or adults	4				Review of records/Discussion	
	to meet basinwide needs						

 Table 2
 Willard NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure		Complia	ice Stati	ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
						Non-Compliance	Compliance
	1	N/A	Yes	?	No		
#5a	Temperature						
	Does your water temperature meet the criteria for	4				Spawning at Little White Salmon	
	spawning?						
	Does your water temperature meet the criteria for		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	incubation?						
	incubation:						
	Does your water temperature meet the criteria for				4	Water too cold; cold water disease a real	Provide temperature control for rearing
					-		The first compensation control for feating
#5b	rearing? Dissolved gases					problem	
#30	Dissolved gases						
	Is the oxygen level near saturation?			4		Never been a problem	Monitor DO and record
	is the oxygen level hear saturation:			4		Never been a problem	Wonton Do and record
	Is the dissolved nitrogen level less than saturation?			4		See above	Monitor TGP and record
	is the dissolved introgen level less than saturation:			4		See above	Womtor 1G1 and record
#5c	Chemistry						
1130	Chemistry						
	Ammonia (un-ionized)			4		No current data	Run analysis
	Carbon Dioxide			4		See above	See above
	Chlorine			4		See above	See above
	pH		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Copper		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Hydrogen Sulfide			4		No current data	Run analysis
	Iron			4		See above	Run analysis
	Zinc				4	No problems observed	Run additional analysis and review Zn
							criteria

 Table 2
 Willard NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#5d	Turbidity						
	Does your turbidity meet the criteria?	J		4		Review of records/Discussion	Run analysis

 Table 2
 Willard NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliance Status			Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
						Non-Compliance	Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#5e	Alkalinity and hardness						
	Does your alkalinity and hardness meet the criteria?					Review of records/Discussion	
	Well						
	River		4				
			4				Increase hardness of water
					4		
#5f	Nitrite						
	Does your nitrite meet the criteria?	1		4		Review of records/Discussion	Run analysis
#5g	Contaminants			-			
	Aldrin			4		No data	Run analysis for contaminants
	Endrin			4		See above	See above
	Dieldrin			4		See above	See above
	Heptachlor			4		See above	See above
	Chlordane			4		See above	See above
	Methoxychlor			4		See above	See above
	Lindane			4		See above	See above
	Malathion			4		See above	See above
	Guthion			4		See above	See above

 Table 2
 Willard NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#5h	Pathogens						
	What portions of the hatchery have disease-free water?						
	Adult holding	4				Adult holding at Little White Salmon	
	Incubation		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	Early rearing				4	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	Develop disease-free water supply for
							early rearing
	Rearing				4	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	None
	Others	4					

 Table 2
 Willard NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliance Status		IS	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
					T.	Non-Compliance	Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#6	Alarm Systems						
	Do the following areas have alarms?						
	Intake		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	Large rearing ponds and adult holding ponds	4				None	
	Raceway headboxes and rearing ponds		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	Incubation facilities		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	Quarantine areas and facilities	4				None	
	Water treatment systems	4				None	
	Security				4	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	Install security alarms
	Are there outside systems and buzzers in onsite residences?		4			Discussion	
	Are water flow alarms checked daily?				4	Review of records/Discussion	Follow IHOT protocols for checking
	Are all other alarms checked weekly?				4	Review of records/Discussion	water flow alarms daily Follow IHOT protocols for checking
	Is there a log of alarms for emergencies, tests, and maintenance requirements?		4			Review of records/Discussion	other alarms weekly
	Are telephone pagers used?		4			Discussion	

 Table 2
 Willard NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#7	Adult collection and holding facilities						
	Do you meet the adult holding criteria?	4				Adult holding at Little White Salmon	

 Table 2
 Willard NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status			ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#8	Incubation facilities	N/A	ies	•	NO		
	Type 1: Vertical tray Do you have an adequate number of units for the overall program?		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	Type 2: Do you have an adequate number of units for the overall program?	4					
#9	Rearing facilities Type 1: Nursery tanks Do you have an adequate number of units for the overall program?				4	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	Need additional nursery tanks
	Type 2: <u>Raceways</u> Do you have an adequate number of units for the overall program?		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	Type 3: Do you have an adequate number of units for the overall program?		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	

 Table 2
 Willard NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#10	Screening facilities						
	Do you meet the approach velocity criteria?	ı	4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	Are the fish screens regularly cleaned?		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	Does the screen mesh meet screen opening criteria?		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
İ	Are rearing containers double screened for fish that	4				Released on station	
	should not be released to adjacent water?						
#11	Predator control facilities						
	Are your predation control facilities effective?				4	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	Install 1,100 ft of perimeter fencing and
							41,700 sf of bird netting

 Table 2
 Willard NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	ice Statu	IS	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#12	Food storage facilities and quality control	IVA	103	•	110		
	Does the storage of dry/semi-moist/moist foods		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	(dry<12%; semi-moist 12-20%; moist >20% moisture) follow food manufacturerÕs recommendations?						
	Does a regional quality control officer oversee production procedures and monitor:						
	Verification by feed manufacturer that ingredients meet specifications?		4			Discussion	
	Ensure feed does not contain unwanted drugs or other additives?		4			Discussion	
	Analyze ingredients contained in the final food product to ensure that feed specifications have been met?		4			Discussion	
	Are the foods stored and handled according to the following criteria?						

 Table 2
 Willard NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

	N/A	Yes	?	No		
Moist pellets should not exceed 10°F at point of		4			Discussion	
delivery.						
Moist pellets should be removed from freezer just prior to feeding.		4			Discussion	
Do not leave buckets of feed or feed containers outside exposed to light or heat.		4			Discussion	
Open bags of feed should be fed within 1 to 2 days except when feeding small groups of fish.		4			Discussion	
Automatic feeder hoppers and bulk storage facilities should be insulated against excessive temperatures (80°F and above).		4			Discussion	
temperatures (80°F and above).						

 Table 2
 Willard NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Complia	nce Statu	ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
						Non-Compliance	Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#13	Release facilities						
	Do the release facilities ensure that fish are not		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	subjected to adverse conditions?						
#14	Pollution abatement facilities						
	Do the pollution abatement facilities meet all federal		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	and state regulations (or good engineering practice)?						
	Are pollution abatement facilities operated correctly?		4			Discussion	
#15	Transportation facilities						
	Are the transport systems adequate to meet IHOT	4				Only transport eggs	
	performance measures for transportation practices?						

 Table 2
 Willard NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status		ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for	
		27/4				Non-Compliance	Compliance
"1 5		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#16	Broodstock selection practices						
	Is the donor selection process document attached? (PM	4				Existing program; does not apply	
	#40a)						
	Was the donor selection outline followed in selecting	4				Existing program; does not apply	
	the hatchery broodstock? (PM #40b-c)						
#17	Spawning practices						
	Were the appropriate number of spawners, male/female	4				Spawning at Little White Salmon	
	ratios, and fertilization protocols used? (PM #42c-g)					Hatchery	
#18	Incubation practices						
	Are specific incubation standards listed in the hatchery				4	Reviewed IHOT Operations Plan	Develop specific incubation standards for
	operations plan?						the IHOT Operations Plan
	Are incubation practices written?				4	None supplied to inspection team	See above
	Incubation Type 1: <u>Vertical tray</u> (see PM #8)				4	Review of records/Discussion	Follow IHOT incubation flow criteria or
	Do you meet the loading and flow criteria?						revise criteria

 Table 2
 Willard NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

	N/A	Yes	?	No		
Incubation Type 2: (see PM #8)	4				Review of records/Discussion	
Do you meet the loading and flow criteria?						

 Table 2
 Willard NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status			us	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
					1	Non-Compliance	Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#19	Rearing practices						
	Are specific rearing standards listed in the hatchery				4	Review IHOT Hatchery Operations Plan	Develop specific rearing standards for the
	operations plan?						IHOT Operations Plan
	Are rearing practices written?				4	See above	
	Rearing Unit Type 1: Nursery tanks						
	(see PM #9)						
	Do you meet the density and DI criteria?				4	Review of records/Discussion	Need 40 additional nursery tanks
	Do you meet the Loading and FI criteria?		4			Review of records/Discussion	,
	Rearing Unit Type 2: Raceways (see PM #9)						
	Do you meet the density and DI criteria?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Do you meet the Loading and FI criteria?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Rearing Unit Type 3: (see PM #9)						
	Do you meet the density and DI criteria?	4					
	Do you meet the Loading and FI criteria?	4					
#20	Smolt quality						
	Do you produce a high quality smolt?		4			Discussion	

Table 2	Willard NFH - Coho	Compliance With Performance Measures

 Table 2
 Willard NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status			ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
						Non-Compliance	Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#21	Fish health management practices						
	Are the monthly hatchery monitoring visits being conducted? (PM #26)		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Are the annual broodstock inspections being		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	conducted? (PM #27)						
	Is there pathogen-free water (PM #5h)and are the sanitation procedures being followed? (PM #28)				4	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5h
	Are the following water quality parameters within criteria? (PM #5a-5g)						
	Water temperature				4	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5a
	Dissolved gases			4		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5b
	Chemistry				4	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5c
	Turbidity			4		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5d
	Alkalinity and hardness				4	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5e
	Nitrite			4		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5f
	Contaminants			4		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5g
	Are rearing standards being followed? (PM #19)				4	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #19

 Table 2
 Willard NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

	N/A	Yes	?	No		
Are egg and fish transfer/release requirements met?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
(PM #31)						

 Table 2
 Willard NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliance Status		ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
				1		Non-Compliance	Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#22a	Does hatchery performance meet requirements						
	outlined in the regional hatchery policies and in						
	subbasin and hatchery plans for the following areas?						
#22a1	Percent smoltification						
	Do you measure percent smoltification?				4	Discussion	Develop smoltification goal and monitor
	Do you have a smoltification goal				4	Discussion	See above
	Did you meet the smoltification criteria?			4		Discussion	See above
#22a2	Rearing density (prior to release)						
	Did you meet the rearing density criteria just prior to		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	release?						
#22a3	Disease condition (at release)						
	Did you meet all disease regulations just prior to		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	release?						
#22a4	Number (at release)						
	Did you meet the release number goal?				4	Review of records/Discussion	Increase adult returns
#22a5	Size at release						
	Did you meet the size goal?		4			Review of records/Discussion	

 Table 2
 Willard NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#22a6	Dates of release						
	Did you meet the release date goal?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
#22a7	Location of release						
	Did you release the fish at the specified location?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
#22b	Are fish reared in the subbasin or acclimated in the						
	subbasin?						
	Are the fish reared in the subbasin?		4			Discussion	
	Are the fish acclimated in the subbasin?		4			Discussion	
#22c	Is the release strategy appropriate for the program?		4			Discussion	

 Table 2
 Willard NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Complia	nce Stati	ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#23	Transportation facilities	IV/A	Tes	•	110		
	Do transportation equipment and personnel receive disinfection before and after use?	4				No off-station transport of fish	
	Is the fish tank interior disinfected using a solution of 200 ppm active chlorine for 30 minutes minimum or formaldehyde gas generation method (relative humidity of 60% for 2 hrs)?	4				See above	
	Is the exterior of the fish transport vehicle disinfected using high pressure steam (115-130°C), high temperature acid, or with 200 ppm chlorine for 30 minutes?	4				See above	
	Is the fish transport vehicle (cab) disinfected using 600 ppm quaternary ammonia compounds (1.5 ml of 50% stock solution/liter water)?	4				See above	
	Is other equipment disinfected including fish pumps, nets, egg sorters, waders, boots, rain gear, hoses and other equipment using one of the following solutions?	4				See above	

 Table 2
 Willard NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

	N/A	Yes	?	No		
200 ppm chlorine for 30 minutes						
600 ppm quaternary ammonia compound for 30						
minutes						
200 ppm iodophor solution for 10 minutes	4					
Do personnel wear protective garments when handling	4				See above	
fish eggs or cultural water?						
rish eggs of cultural water?						
	4				Constant	
Do the fish transport truck/chassis and tank/unit receive	4				See above	
an inspection and service prior to the release season?						
Is a daily service inspection completed before starting	4				See above	
up and leaving for the day?						
,						

 Table 2
 Willard NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	ice Statu	ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#23	Transportation facilities	N/A	res	•	NO		
	Transportation lacinices						
(cont)	Does the fish transport unit receive an inspection prior	4				No off-station transport of fish	
		7				The off Station transport of fish	
	to loading?						
	Does a pre-loading inspection covering tank water	4				See above	
	level, pumps or aerators, oxygen injection system	7					
	settings, displacement gauge, and truck loading/hauling						
	density tables checked and reviewed occur prior to						
	loading fish in the transport unit?						
	Do hauling criteria include checking the fish 45	4				See above	
	minutes to 1 hour after loading?						
	When fish are active and systems are functioning	4				See above	
	properly, is the oxygen concentration reduced and						
	maintained at approximately 8 ppm?						
	Is water temperature in the transportation unit	4				See above	
	maintained within the 42-48 °F range?						

 Table 2
 Willard NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

	N/A	Yes	?	No		
Do fish releasing procedures include the following						
criteria?						
Releasing the fish at the correct release site or into the correct water body.	4				See above	
Tempering or the difference between the liberation tank and the target water body should not exceed 10°F.	4				See above	
The liberation hose should be angled so that fish gently hit the water. Using a tripod is a method of ensuring the hose will stay at the proper angle.	4				See above	

 Table 2
 Willard NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	nce Statu	ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#24	Evaluation practices				2.0		
	Has the hatchery conducted fishery contribution studies to:						
	Determine the requirements for evaluating and improving management programs?		4			Discussion	
	Develop guidelines that define the geographical area and identify component stocks (hatchery and/or wild) that comprise the management unit?		4			Discussion	
	Develop guidelines that define if the proper stocks of fish are currently being used?		4			Discussion	
	Determine which management units contribute to a specific fishery and the time periods of those contributions?		4			Discussion	
	Determine the relative contributions of the various management units to a specific fishery over the different time periods?		4			Discussion	

 Table 2
 Willard NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	ice Statu	18	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
			1	1	1	Non-Compliance	Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#25	Training practices						
	Does the hatchery have a training schedule for its		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	staff?						
	Does each staff member have a personal training		4		<u> </u> 	Review of records/Discussion	
	plan approved by a supervisor and reviewed						
	annually?						
	Does the hatchery routinely exchange training		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	details between other hatcheries and agencies?						
	Does the hatchery encourage and reward off-duty	:	4			Review of records/Discussion	
	training of staff?						
	Does the hatchery conduct monthly staff meetings?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	2000 the nationary conduct monthly start meetings.		-			Terrem of feeding/Discussion	
	Does the hatchery conduct monthly staff meetings?		4			Review of records/Discussion	

 Table 2
 Willard NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliance Status		1S	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#26	Are monthly hatchery monitoring visits being	IV/A	Tes	•	110		
	conducted by a qualified fish health specialist as						
	described below?						
	Conduct visit at least monthly		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Monitoring conducted by qualified fish health specialist		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Examine a representative sample of healthy and		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	moribund fish from each lot.						
	Review fish culture practices with hatchery manager.		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Report finding and results of necropsies on standard		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	form.						
	Recommend appropriate drug or chemical treatment.		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Summarize fish health status or stock prior to release or		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	transfer to another facility.						
#27	Are all of the functions of the hatchery yearly						
	monitoring visits being completed as described below?						
	l	I				l	I

 Table 2
 Willard NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

	N/A	Yes	?	No	
Annually examine each broodstock for the presence of		4			Review of records/Discussion
reportable viral pathogens.					
Annually screen each salmon broodstock for the presence of <i>Renibacterium salmoninarum</i> .		4			Review of records/Discussion
Conduct inspection by or under the supervision of qualified fish health specialist.		4			Review of records/Discussion

 Table 2
 Willard NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

Description of Performance Measure	(Complia	ıce Statı	18	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
			<u> </u>	T	Non-Compliance	Compliance
Is the hatchery following accepted sanitation	N/A	Yes	?	No		
procedures?						
Are there any sources of pathogen-free water,				4	Discussion	Provide pathogen-free water for early
especially for incubation and early rearing?						rearing
Are the hatchery sanitation procedures understood and						
being followed as described below?						
Disinfect/water harden eggs in iodophor?		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
Are foot baths containing disinfectant placed at the		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
incubation facilityÕs entrance and exit?						
Is equipment and rain gear utilized in broodstock	4				Broodstock and spawning at Little White	
handling or spawning sanitized prior to its use					Salmon Hatchery	
elsewhere in the hatchery?						
Is equipment used to collect dead fish sanitized prior		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
its use in another pond and/or lot of fish?						
	Is the hatchery following accepted sanitation procedures? Are there any sources of pathogen-free water, especially for incubation and early rearing? Are the hatchery sanitation procedures understood and being followed as described below? Disinfect/water harden eggs in iodophor? Are foot baths containing disinfectant placed at the incubation facilityÕs entrance and exit? Is equipment and rain gear utilized in broodstock handling or spawning sanitized prior to its use elsewhere in the hatchery? Is equipment used to collect dead fish sanitized prior	Is the hatchery following accepted sanitation procedures? Are there any sources of pathogen-free water, especially for incubation and early rearing? Are the hatchery sanitation procedures understood and being followed as described below? Disinfect/water harden eggs in iodophor? Are foot baths containing disinfectant placed at the incubation facilityÕs entrance and exit? Is equipment and rain gear utilized in broodstock handling or spawning sanitized prior to its use elsewhere in the hatchery? Is equipment used to collect dead fish sanitized prior	Is the hatchery following accepted sanitation procedures? Are there any sources of pathogen-free water, especially for incubation and early rearing? Are the hatchery sanitation procedures understood and being followed as described below? Disinfect/water harden eggs in iodophor? Are foot baths containing disinfectant placed at the incubation facilityÕs entrance and exit? Is equipment and rain gear utilized in broodstock handling or spawning sanitized prior to its use elsewhere in the hatchery? Is equipment used to collect dead fish sanitized prior 4	Is the hatchery following accepted sanitation procedures? Are there any sources of pathogen-free water, especially for incubation and early rearing? Are the hatchery sanitation procedures understood and being followed as described below? Disinfect/water harden eggs in iodophor? Are foot baths containing disinfectant placed at the incubation facilityÕs entrance and exit? Is equipment and rain gear utilized in broodstock handling or spawning sanitized prior to its use elsewhere in the hatchery? Is equipment used to collect dead fish sanitized prior 4	Is the hatchery following accepted sanitation procedures? Are there any sources of pathogen-free water, especially for incubation and early rearing? Are the hatchery sanitation procedures understood and being followed as described below? Disinfect/water harden eggs in iodophor? Are foot baths containing disinfectant placed at the incubation facilityŌs entrance and exit? Is equipment and rain gear utilized in broodstock handling or spawning sanitized prior to its use elsewhere in the hatchery? Is equipment used to collect dead fish sanitized prior 4	Is the hatchery following accepted sanitation procedures? Are there any sources of pathogen-free water, especially for incubation and early rearing? Are the hatchery sanitation procedures understood and being followed as described below? Disinfect/water harden eggs in iodophor? Are foot baths containing disinfectant placed at the incubation facilityÕs entrance and exit? Is equipment and rain gear utilized in broodstock handling or spawning sanitized prior to its use elsewhere in the hatchery? Is equipment used to collect dead fish sanitized prior A Yes ? No Discussion 4 Discussion Inspection of facilities/Discussion Inspection of facilities/Discussion Inspection of facilities/Discussion Inspection of facilities/Discussion

 Table 2
 Willard NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

	N/A	Yes	?	No	
Is equipment, including vehicles used to transfer	4				Transportation of eggs provided by Little
fish between facilities, disinfected prior to use with					White Salmon
any other fish lots or at any other location?					
Are rearing vessels sanitized after fish are removed		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion
and prior to introducing a new fish lot or stock?					
Are dead fish properly disposed of?		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion

 Table 2
 Willard NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliance Status		ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
			1			Non-Compliance	Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#29	Are water quality parameters being followed?						
	Are the following water quality parameters within						
	Are the following water quantry parameters within						
	criteria? (PM #5a-5g)						
	Water temperature				4	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5a
	Dissolved gases			4		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5b
	Chemistry			-	4	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5c
	Turbidity			4		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5d
	Alkalinity and hardness				4	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5e
	Nitrite			4		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5f
	Contaminants			4		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5g
	Go to PM #21						
#30	Are incubation and rearing standards being followed?						
	A district of CH is different				1	D	G DM///10
	Are the incubation practices following the IHOT				4	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #18
	incubation criteria? (PM #18)						
	Are the rearing practices following the IHOT				4	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #19
	criteria? (PM #19)						
	Go to rearing practices PM #18-PM #19						
#31	Are egg and fish transfer/release requirements met?		4			Discussion	

Table 2	Willard NFH - Coho	Compliance With Performance Measures

 Table 2
 Willard NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status			IS	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
						Non-Compliance	Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#32	Is the hatchery's program outlined in a subbasin		4			Columbia Basin System Planning	
	management plan?					Production Plan, Mitchell Act, and	
						Columbia River Fishery Plan (NMFS)	
	Go to subbasin plan PM #1						
#33	Is the hatchery operating under a current hatchery		4			IHOT Operations Plan	
	operational plan?						
	Go to operational plan PM #2						
#34	Is a hatchery monitoring and evaluation plan in place?		4			CWT and Missing Groups Report	
	Go to hatchery monitoring and evaluation plan PM #3						

 Table 2
 Willard NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status			IS	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#35	Does the hatchery program meet requirements	17/12	105	•	110		
	established in the regional hatchery policies and						
	subbasin planning documents in the following areas:						
	species, stock, broodstock collection location,						
	broodstock numbers, broodstock collection strategy,						
	and spawning and egg-take protocols?						
	Does the hatchery program meet the requirements for						
	the following?						
	Species protocols (PM #1)		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Stock protocols (PM #1)		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Broodstock collection location protocols (PM #41b	4				Broodstock and spawning at Little White	
	for existing program; PM #39b for new program)					Salmon Hatchery	
	Broodstock numbers protocols (PM #42c)	4				See above	
	Broodstock collection strategy protocols (PM #41b-	4				See above	
	d for existing program; PM 39b-f for new program)						

 Table 2
 Willard NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

	N/A	Yes	?	No		
Spawning protocols (PM #42d-e)	4				See above	
Egg-take protocols (PM #42f-g)	4				See above	

 Table 2
 Willard NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status			IS	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
					I	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#36	Does the hatchery's performance meet requirements outlined in the regional hatchery policies and in	N/A	Yes	?	No		
	subbasin and hatchery plans for the following areas:						
	percent smoltification, rearing density, disease						
	condition, and the number, size date(s), and location of						
	release?						
	Percent smoltification (PM #22a1)			4		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #22a1
	Rearing density (PM #22a2)		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Disease condition (PM #22a3)		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Number at release (PM #22a4)				4	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #22a4
	Size at release (PM #22a5)		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Date of release (PM #22a6)		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Location of release (PM #22a7)		4			Review of records/Discussion	

 Table 2
 Willard NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#37	Are fish reared in the subbasin or acclimated in the		4			Discussion	
	subbasin? See PM #22b						
#38	Is the release strategy appropriate for the program?		4			Discussion	
	See PM #22c						

 Table 2
 Willard NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	C	Compliar	nce Statu	ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		27/4	*7			Non-Compliance	Compliance
#39	For new programs, has a broodstock collection plan	N/A	Yes	?	No		
	been developed?						
#39a	Is the broodstock collection plan written?	4				Existing Program; does not apply	
	For a non-captive broodstock program:	4				Existing Program; does not apply	
#39b	Was an unbiased, representative sample collected?						
#39c	Was the recommended number of broodstock collected?	4				Existing Program; does not apply	
	For a captive broodstock program:						
#39d	Were captive brood progeny excluded as donors for propagating the next generation of the captive broodstock program?	4				Existing Program; does not apply	
#39e	Were full-sib crosses avoided?	4				Existing Program; does not apply	
#39f	Is the broodstock collection plan understood and being followed by staff?	4				Existing Program; does not apply	

 Table 2
 Willard NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No	
#40	For a new program, was the donor selection outline					
	followed in selecting the hatchery broodstock?					
#40a	Is a donor selection plan written?	4				Existing Program; does not apply
#40b	Was the donor selection outline followed in selecting the broodstock?	4				Existing Program; does not apply
#40c	Was the target stock recommended in the donor selection process actually used?	4				Existing Program; does not apply

 Table 2
 Willard NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status		us	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for	
			I	I	1	Non-Compliance	Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#41	For existing programs, were the broodstock collection						
	procedures followed?						
#41a	Is the broodstock collection plan written?	4				Broodstock collection and holding at	
	-					Little White Salmon Hatchery	
	Does the broodstock collection plan follow the					Little Wille Salmon Hatchery	
	guideline:						
#41b	Was an unbiased, representative sample collected?	4				See above	
#41c	Was the recommended number of broodstock collected?	4				See above	
#41d	Were the broodstock collection procedures in	4				See above	
	hatchery operation plan understood and followed?						

 Table 2
 Willard NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	nce Statı	1S	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#42	Was the appropriate number of spawners, male/female	IVA	Tes	•	110		
	ratios, and fertilization protocols used?						
#42a	Are the spawning protocols written?	4				Spawning and fertilization at Little White	
#42b	Are daily or weekly spawning logs available?	4				Salmon Hatchery See above	
#42c	Was the appropriate number of spawners used?	4				See above	
#42d	Did you attempt to spawn all collected broodstock and randomize mating with respect to age class, and other traits?	4				See above	
#42e	Was the sex-ratio within the limits given in the performance standards?	4				See above	
#42f	Were the fertilization protocols followed?	4				See above	
#42g	If the hatchery needed to reduce the number of eggs retained, was this done by representative sampling of each male/female cross?	4				See above	

 Table 2
 Willard NFH - Coho
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	ice Statu	1S	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#43	Is there a genetics monitoring and evaluation program	14/11	103	•	110		
	in place?						
	Is a genetics monitoring and evaluation program available?				4	None provided	Develop approved genetics M&E plan
	Does the plan address the following elements listed in IHOT:						
	Does the program have elements needed to meet evaluation goals 1-4?				4	See above	See above
	Has a qualified geneticist reviewed and endorsed the program (goal 5)?				4	See above	See above
	Will the program collect the data and maintain the records needed to evaluate compliance on an ongoing basis (goal 5)?				4	See above	See above
	Is the program understood and followed by staff?				4	See above	See above

Remedial Actions

Based on the compliance status for each performance measure, remedial actions were developed. The required remedial actions are organized into five categories. The types of categories range across a spectrum from those actions that are beyond human control, to those that require a change in agency policy or procedures, to those that involve a significant capital cost to put in place. The following are the five types of remedial actions identified under phase 1 of the audit:

The Five Types of Remedial Actions

Туре	Description
1	Non-compliance issues resulting from items beyond human control or Performance Measures not relevant for this hatchery
2	Remedial actions requiring changes in agency policies or procedures
3	Remedial actions requiring changes in monitoring coverage or interval
4	Remedial actions requiring significant capital expenditures
5	Remedial actions that may require significant capital expenditures but are not clearly
	definable at this time

Remedial Actions at Willard NFH - Coho

This section presents the corrective actions required to bring the Willard NFH - Coho program into compliance with IHOT performance measures. The remedial actions suggested here are just that, <u>suggestions</u> developed by the Montgomery Watson Audit Team. For some non-compliance areas, other remedial actions could be proposed. The required remedial actions are cross-referenced to each IHOT performance measure that was not in compliance. Where appropriate, the costs associated with the remedial actions are also presented (Table 3).

The cost estimates presented in this section are based on professional experience from similar projects. In most cases, only a lump-sum figure is presented, and detailed take-off lists have not been prepared. The cost estimates are essentially order of magnitude estimates (\pm 40%).

More importantly, the suggested remedial activities may also present several levels of action.

Optional actions have been listed for several problems. These optional actions are desirable for either operational or safety considerations.

Table 3. Remedial Actions Required at Willard NFH - Coho

Remedial Action Required	Cost	PMs¹
Type 1 - Non-compliance issues resulting from items beyond human		
control or Performance Measures not relevant for this hatchery		
Increase adult returns		4g, 4h,
		22a4
Type 2 - Remedial actions requiring changes in agency policies or		
procedures		
Review IHOT temperature criteria		5a
Follow IHOT protocols for checking of flow and other alarms		6
Install security alarms		6
Develop specific incubation and rearing standards for the IHOT		18-19
Operations Plan		
Follow IHOT incubation flow criteria or revise criteria		18
Develop smoltification goal and monitor		22a1
Develop approved genetics M&E plan		43
Type 3 - Remedial actions requiring changes in monitoring coverage		
or interval		
Monitor DO and TGP and record		5b
Run analysis for missing water chemistry parameters, turbidity, nitrite,		5c, 5d, 5f,
and contaminants		5g

Remedial Action Required	Cost	PMs²
Type 4 - Remedial actions requiring significant capital expenditures		
Provide temperature control for rearing	\$19.2	5a
Develop disease-free water supply for early rearing	million \$15.0 million	5h, 28
Install 40 additional nursery tanks	\$850,000	9, 19,
Install 1,100 ft of perimeter fencing and 41,700 sf of bird netting	\$80,000	11
Type 5 - Remedial actions that may require significant capital		
expenditures but are not clearly definable at this time		
Increase fry-to-smolt survival		4f
Increase hardness of river water		5e

Hatchery Contribution to

Fisheries, Spawning Grounds, and Hatcheries

This section presents the audit findings for the Willard NFH - Coho program contribution of adult fish to fisheries, local fisheries, spawning grounds, and hatcheries. Data is reported by broodyear. A broodyear refers to the adult contribution from the eggs produced from a single group of spawning adults. For some species, this may include fish caught as 2-, 3-, 4-, 5-, and 6-year old fish. Because of the return distribution and data processing delays, the complete adult contribution for a given broodyear may not be available until 4 to 5 years after the fish have been released from the hatchery.

Table 4. Adult Contribution to Fisheries, Spawning Grounds, and Hatcheries:

Willard NFH - Coho

Year	Fisheries (Broodyear)	Spawning Grounds ¹ (Broodyear)	Hatchery ¹ (Broodyear)	Total Combined Contribution (Broodyear)	Smolt to Adult Survival (percent)
	(Dioouyeai)	(Broodyour)	(Broodyear)	(=====,	
1981					
1982					

Data obtained from Missing Production Groups Annual Report or from the Regional Mark Information System database.

Total combined adult contribution; presented when it is not possible to subdivide the contribution into fisheries, spawning grounds, and hatchery contributions.

1983					
1984					
1985					
1986					
1987					
1988	37,413	0	12,428	49,841	1.70
1989	6,199	0	2,210	8,400	0.32
1990	1,343	0	415	1,758	0.11
1991	552	0	1,657	2,209	0.072
1992					

Annual Operating Expenditures

The level and detail of annual operating expenditures varies widely depending on hatchery, operating agency, and funding source. When provided, expenditures were presented in terms of personnel costs, operating costs (power, feed, supplies), capital costs, indirect costs charged to the federal government, third-party costs, and other costs. These cost components were summed to determine a total hatchery annual cost. Based on discussion with the hatchery manager, the percent of total hatchery costs allocated to a given program was estimated. The total hatchery costs and the percent of hatchery costs allocated to a given program were used to compute the cost of a given program. Table 5 shows the annual operating expenses for the Willard NFH - Coho program. For programs that occur at more than one facility (as shown on Table 1 in Section 3 of this report), the cost breakdown for the component(s) at each facility is presented in separate tables (Table 5a).

Table 5. Annual Operating Expenses: Willard NFH - Coho

Hatchery	1993	1994	1995
1. Willard and Little White	\$357,787	\$496,272	\$434,599
Salmon Hatcheries			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
Total Program Costs	\$357,787	\$496,272	\$434,599

The total expenditures for the Willard NFH are presented in Table 6 by program. The detailed breakdown of program expenditures at this hatchery are presented in separate tables (Table 6a).

Table 6. Annual Operating Expenses - Willard NFH

Program	1994	1995	1996
Coho (includes costs at Little	\$357,787	\$496,272	\$434,599
While Salmon Hatchery)			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
Total Hatchery Costs	\$357,787	\$496,272	\$434,599

Table 5a. Annual Operating Expenses: Willard NFH - Coho

Expenditure Occurring at Willard and Little White Salmon Hatcheries

Component	1994	1995	1996
Personnel Costs	\$503,436	\$492,700	\$478,159
Operational Costs	\$304,210	\$358,975	\$384,141
Capital Costs			
Indirect Costs			
Lumped Hatchery Costs			
Lumped Third-Party Costs			
Total Hatchery Costs	\$807,646	\$851,675	\$862,300
Source of Funds			
NMFS	100%	100%	100%
Program Production (lb)	105,304	112,723	91,460
Total Production (lb)	237,747	204,728	181,600
Program as Percent of Total	44.3	55.1	50.4
Program Costs	\$357,787	\$496,272	\$434,599

When it was not possible to obtain a detailed cost breakdown from an agency or third party, the undivided costs were entered here.

Table 6a. Detailed Expenditures at Willard NFH by Program

Coho

Component	1994	1995	1996
Personnel Costs	\$503,436	\$492,700	\$478,159
Operational Costs	\$304,210	\$358,975	\$384,141
Capital Costs			
Indirect Costs			
Lumped Hatchery Costs			
Lumped Third-Party Costs			
Total Hatchery Costs	\$807,646	\$851,675	\$862,300
Source of Funds			
NMFS	100%	100%	100%
Program Production (lb)	105,304	112,723	91,460
Total Production (lb)	237,747	204,728	181,600
Program as Percent of Total	44.3	55.1	50.4
Program Costs	\$357,787	\$496,272	\$434,599

PMs are performance measures that were extracted from the IHOT 1995 report. The IHOT

When it was not possible to obtain a detailed cost breakdown from an agency or third party, the undivided costs were entered here.

performance measures are listed in Table 2 (Section 3 of this report) in numerical order.

PMs are performance measures that were extracted from the IHOT 1995 report. The IHOT performance measures are listed in Table 2 (Section 3 of this report) in numerical order.